

1	APPEARANCES: Continued
2	Interested Party J. MICHAEL HUGET General Motors LLC: Honigman LLP
3	General Motors LLC: Honigman LLP 315 East Eisenhower Parkway Suite 100
4	Ann Arbor, Michigan 48108 734-418-4254
5	Interested Party ARTHUR THOMAS O'REILLY
6	AAPICO Hitech PLC: Jones Day 150 West Jefferson
7	Suite 2100 Detroit, Michigan 48226-4438
8	313-230-7925
9	THOMAS M. WEARSCH Jones Day
10	North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue
11	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 216-586-3939
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	To obtain a certified copy of this transcript, contact:
24	Linda M. Cavanagh, CSR-0131, RDR, RMR, CRR, CRC Official Court Reporter
25	(313) 234-2616 • www.transcriptorders.com

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	<u>Page</u>
3	EMERGENCY MOTION TO APPOINT RECEIVER:
4	Motion by Mr. Opincar
5	Reply by Mr. Opincar
6	Comments by Mr. Huget
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	EVILIDADO
17	EXHIBITS Office de Deceire de Dec
18 19	Identification Offered Received
	NONE
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
- )	

```
Detroit, Michigan
 1
              Thursday, March 28, 2019
 2
 3
               (Proceedings commenced at 10:57 a.m., all parties
 4
 5
              present)
                          The Court calls Case No. 19-cv-10890,
 6
              THE CLERK:
 7
     Huntington National Bank versus Sakthi Automotive Group.
              Counsel, please state your appearances for the
 8
 9
     record.
              MR. OPINCAR: Good morning, Your Honor. Scott
10
     Opincar and Alexander Ayar of McDonald Hopkins on behalf of the
11
     Huntington National Bank, successor by merger to FirstMerit
12
     Bank. Also with me in court today, Your Honor, I have Glenn
13
     Bartley and Barry O'Neall, each Senior Vice Presidents with the
14
15
     Huntington National Bank.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. Welcome. Who -- welcome. Who's
     Alex? Oh, hello. I was going to call you Mike.
17
              MR. HUGET: I am Mike.
18
              THE COURT: Yeah.
19
                          Yes. Good morning, Your Honor. Michael
20
              MR. HUGET:
21
     Huget on behalf of General Motors. We're not a non-party but
22
     we have an interest in this -- in this matter that I can
23
     explain a little later.
              THE COURT: All right.
24
              MR. HUGET: And with me in the courtroom is Aaron
25
```

```
Silver of the General Motors legal staff.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Okay.
              THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, what was the last
 3
     name?
 4
              MR. HUGET: Aaron Silver.
 5
              THE COURT REPORTER: Silver. Thank you.
 6
 7
              MR. HUGET:
                          Thank you.
              THE COURT: Okay. And I was going to --
 8
 9
              MR. O'REILLY: Good morning, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: -- call you Arthur, but that's -- Mr.
10
11
     O'Reilly and Mr. Huget are here, right?
              MR. O'REILLY: Your Honor, Arthur O'Reilly from the
12
     Jones Day firm representing AAPICO, A-A-P-I-C-O, Hitech PLC.
13
14
     With me is also my partner from our Ohio office, Tom Wearsch.
              THE COURT: All right. Who's Alex? You're Alex?
15
              MR. AYAR: Yeah, Alex Ayar, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Are you from Cleveland?
17
              MR. AYAR: No, Your Honor, I'm from the Detroit
18
     office.
19
              THE COURT: All right. Make sure you file an
20
     appearance on the record because we don't have you on the
21
22
     docket sheet right now, okay?
23
              MR. AYAR: Yes, Your Honor. I'll be surely -- I
     thought I did yesterday but I'll confirm that it's on there.
24
25
              THE COURT: Maybe you did. As -- whenever I got this
```

```
from my law clerk, maybe that was before you did it. So if you
 1
 2
     did --
              MR. AYAR:
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: -- you're taken care of.
 4
              MR. OPINCAR: Your Honor, if -- if I may, this is
 5
     Scott Opincar.
 6
 7
              THE COURT:
                         Yeah.
              MR. OPINCAR: The question was for -- for me, Your
 8
 9
             I was admitted December 4th of 2000 [sic] to practice
     before the Court. I was having trouble getting my ECF login
10
11
     information, but I did receive it and I did file my Notice of
12
     Appearance.
              THE COURT: Yeah, I think you're fine. I just hadn't
13
14
     seen Alex's name before, but anyway. Well, we don't go on
     first names in this -- in this courtroom. I just forgot Mr.
15
16
     Huget's last name.
              So in any event, let's turn it over to Mr. Essad.
17
                                                                  Go
     right ahead.
18
              MR. ESSAD: Good morning, Your Honor. Ernest Essad
19
     on behalf of all defendants. With me is David Sheaffer from my
20
21
     office. We're from Williams, Williams, Rattner & Plunkett.
              THE COURT: Right. Welcome to you and it's nice to
22
23
     see you as well.
              All right. FirstMerit was a bank that extended
24
25
     credit and loans, which were memorialized in a number of
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's

```
documents to Sakthi Automotive Group, Sakthi America
Corporation, Sakthi Real Estate Holdings and a number of their
other -- I mean -- I mean it's a -- it's a very basic case.
The bank attempted to secure their obligations with security
interests, liens and things of that nature.
         Huntington says that it holds a perfected security
interest in collateral, and -- and now they also claim a
default in the amount of $19 million-plus. The bank sent the
defendants a Notice of a Default on -- on March 13th and now
they want a temporary restraining order and -- based on the
breach of contract in the loan doc -- doc -- memorialized by
the loan documents and the appointment of a receiver.
         So the only reason we're here today is to determine
whether I should enter a temporary restraining order and
appoint a receiver, and I'd certainly love to hear from Mr.
Opincar for that purpose if you want to go ahead.
         MR. OPINCAR: Thank you, Your Honor. Scott Opincar
on behalf of the Huntington National Bank.
         Your Honor, we're faced with a situation where
payroll is due tomorrow. Payroll typically is funded today
between 2:00 and 3:00 o'clock p.m.
         In addition, Your Honor, Huntington, like GM, and
GM's counsel is here and you can hear from him, have lost faith
in the management of this company. We've been negotiating back
```

and forth with Sakthi, with GM since November of 2018.

been unfulfilled promise after unfulfilled promise. Numerous events of default exist under our loan documents, and those were evidenced by Mr. O'Neall's affidavit attached as Exhibit A to the motion.

In addition, Your Honor, Huntington, based on those defaults, did send out a Notice of Default whereby we notified the defendants that our debt was accelerated and that our obligations to make revolving term loans -- sorry, revolving loans have terminated. We are making discretionary advances.

Your Honor, we've also been in discussions with AAPICO and also GM in connection for a path forward to the extent Your Honor appoints a receiver. To the extent that a receiver is appointed, there will be a financing motion filed with the Court by the receiver and AAPICO providing for a \$25 million facility that will come in in accordance with the Intercreditor Agreement to fund this receivership.

In addition, the parties have been negotiating the weekend, wee hours of the morning for the last three days an accommodation agreement that would be proposed with the Receiver whereby GM would agree, subject to certain conditions therein, including, one, stability and a clear path forward, that they will not resource.

The major risk here, Your Honor, is that GM resources. Sakthi is a sole source supplier on certain component parts for their T1XX large SUV and truck platform.

```
They're a just-in-time supplier and there have been
 1
 2
     inefficiencies in connection with the production.
              There's currently $11 million of premium air freight
 3
     charges that could be offset in addition to other charges that
 4
     GM could offset. If GM offsets, Your Honor, we are entitled
 5
     under the terms of our loan documents to take a reserve under
 6
     the borrowing base.
 7
 8
               So to the extent --
 9
              THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Opincar, I really need you
     to slow down please.
10
              MR. OPINCAR: Oh, sure thing. I apologize.
11
              It's a -- the issue, Your Honor, is that absent an
12
     agreement, GM could offset $11 million of premium air freight
13
     that eviscerates the availability under the borrowing base.
14
                          Well, what -- what -- what's the evidence
15
               THE COURT:
     that anything bad -- bad is going to happen? I mean Mr. Essad
16
     filed his -- his -- his response today, and it seems to me if
17
     the payroll isn't made, that would be one thing, but they
18
     haven't defaulted yet. They haven't suspended operations.
19
```

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
could -- I could preserve the status quo, but I'd -- I'd say
okay, Sakthi, continue to -- to operate your plant, continue to
pay your employees, continue to supply General Motors, but
beyond that, I don't know exactly what else the status quo
would -- would -- would be necessarily.
         MR. OPINCAR: The -- the issue, Your Honor, in
connection with the irreparable harm, is whether or not --
again, what accommodations GM will provide. And again,
Huntington is under no obligation to continue to make advances
under the revolving loan. There's discretionary advances.
         THE COURT: Right.
         MR. OPINCAR: I disagree completely with the
unverified statement from Sakthi that no events of default have
occurred. We've taken the time to specifically list those out.
There's multiple events of default that have occurred.
         In addition, as outlined in our agreements, Your
Honor, pursuant to the loan documents, there's been an absolute
consent to the immediate appointment of a receiver without
notice. For reference, Section 11.5 of the Credit Agreement,
Section 7(d) on page 15 of each of the mortgages, Exhibit D and
Exhibit E respectfully.
         THE COURT: Well, I agree -- all right. First of
all, I -- the affidavit of Mr. O'Neall provides evidence of
breach and default. Now, whether there's defenses and factual
matters, that's -- that's going to play out over the week.
                                                           So
```

```
I certainly think you belong here in terms of being heard on the -- on the TRO.
```

One of the problems I have with the receiver business -- well, let me say a couple of things about the receiver. Meyer Jewelry, beyond what the briefing has said, also stated -- and that's an Eastern Michigan case obviously -- "The" -- quote, "The appointment of a receiver is an extraordinary equitable remedy that's justified only in extreme situations." Of course, the Sixth Circuit said that the role of a receiver is to safeguard disputed assets, to suitably administer the receivership property and to assist the district court in achieving a final equitable distribution of the assets.

The plaintiffs here want -- and they have submitted more than 200 pages of loan documents. They want the receiver to, quote, "employ a consultant to assist in the marketing process pursuant to an engagement agreement on terms and conditions agreed upon by the plaintiff and the receiver without further order of the Court, to retain or terminate any existing professionals of the defendants, including consultants, accountants, attorneys in sole reasonable discretion." There's nothing in this paragraph 11.5 that deals with any of those things. I mean what these guys are saying is that you want to do a corporate takeover using the provisions of -- of -- of federal civil rules to do it, and I'm sure

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
General Motors would, under the circumstances that Mr. O'Neall
lays out, want different management, but I can't, you know -- I
can't -- go ahead.
         MR. OPINCAR: Your Honor, again, we -- we disagree
strongly with the allegations raised by the defendants in
connection with their defendants' emergency answer. There's
been no scheme, there isn't any controversy behind the
curtains, Your Honor. What we have is we have a situation
where this group of debtor defendants, borrowers of Huntington,
are bleeding cash, and Mr. O'Neall is prepared to address that.
We've addressed it in the affidavit.
         But the company has incurred additional indebtedness
of roughly $20 million since December of '18 on working capital
assets. There was a memorandum of understanding that was
specifically referenced in our pleadings in the Twentieth
Amendment where there was going to be a capital infusion of
$25 million by AAPICO. Sakthi agreed to that, Your Honor.
                                                            We
gave him 60 days to close it, and once again that didn't
        The forecast in vendor payments exceed the
availability under Huntington's line. Again, there's
payroll-related costs of $1.3 million, capital expenditures of
967.
         The interesting also thing, Your Honor, is they talk
about they have $2 million and 1.7 of avail -- in availability.
```

The only reason why they have that is because GM was forced in

```
1
     connection to essentially protect its production and purchase
 2
     participation in Huntington's facility on February 12th and
     March 15th respectively, less than two weeks ago.
 3
     March 15th was used to provide availability to make payroll.
 4
     And now here we are today again, Your Honor, on the brink of
 5
     payroll, and they don't -- they have money, but that money
 6
     would never have been available unless GM came in and purchased
 7
 8
     that participation.
 9
              Their statements completely ignore the reality that
     they don't have sufficient availability to meet their operating
10
     cash needs, and there needs to be an infusion of new financing.
11
12
     Nowhere in their pleadings are they showing up stating that
     here's a check, Huntington, we're paying you off, or that we
13
     have a binding commitment letter from another lender.
14
     Essentially they're saying, Huntington, continue to lend.
15
     once we meet the borrowing base, then there's no further
16
     availability. And if GM isn't willing to put in any new money
17
     and if AAPICO isn't willing to put in a $25 million loan
18
     outside of a receivership, Your Honor, we're talking, at
19
     outright liquidation, the loss of 600 jobs and everybody loses.
20
21
              THE COURT: Right.
22
              MR. OPINCAR: The receiver is necessary as the
23
     condition precedent to make those events happen.
24
              THE COURT: All right. The bank says that they want
25
     apparently the receiver to deal with manufacturing facilities
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
and business operations located at the locations identified on
Exhibit B, but Exhibit B only states, quote, "Any and all real
property owned or leased by any defendants." Do I -- do I have
that wrong, because I wasn't able to locate from Exhibit B,
drilling down on this, where the -- where the business
operations are even located, so I don't quite know what our
order would say.
         MR. OPINCAR: Your Honor, that Exhibit B can be
modified to the extent that that needs to happen, but they have
manufacturing operations located at a Detroit campus: West Fort
Street, Waterman Street, American Way. The schedules to the
Credit Agreement list the owned property; they're part of
Exhibit A. In addition, there's certain defined terms in the
Intercreditor Agreement regarding the real estate collateral
and there's legal descriptions attached thereto.
         THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from
Mr. Essad briefly and then we'll give you the last word, Mr.
Opincar. Thank you very much for your argument. I know you're
well prepared. Frankly, you know a lot more about the case
than I do at this point and your remarks are very helpful.
         MR. OPINCAR: Thank you, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Essad.
         MR. ESSAD: Good morning, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: Doesn't look like you're in good shape
here.
      You've been negotiating but haven't made any payments,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
one unfulfilled promise after another, and the -- the --
General Motors is -- they rely on your clients and they're very
concerned that they're not going to get the output they need to
keep their production line in -- in business, and if that -- or
going, and if that happens, that's big trouble for everybody.
So what -- what -- what is your best argument for
avoiding a TRO and imposition of a receiver based on your
agreement at paragraph 11.5? Go right ahead.
         MR. ESSAD: Thank you, Your Honor.
         First, our apologies for the timings on the filing,
but --
         THE COURT: You don't have to apologize for that.
I -- I didn't know anything about this 24 hours ago, so it
seems to me you made a yeoman effort to get something on the
        I'm glad you're here. Go -- go ahead.
record.
         MR. ESSAD:
                    Thank you.
                    I'm eager to listen to anything you have
         THE COURT:
to say.
         MR. ESSAD: Yep. Your Honor, in the Complaint the
bank cites four events of default in paragraph 15, page 5, and
we would submit to the Court that none of these are defaults.
         Number one, yes, they did send us a Notice of the
Default.
         That they claim that we have generated a significant
shortfall due to operating losses. There were operating
        That situation has been corrected. I have Mr.
losses.
```

Manickam here from the company. He can attest to the company's current financial state. They do have cash in the bank. They are able to make payroll. They are running their production lines. They've met every requirement that GM has put upon them with respect to the supply of parts. The parts are critical, as we understand it, to GM. They go into a particular line which makes up a large part of GM's production models. That part is being produced, has been produced and will continue to be produced.

Now, they make an issue out of a \$4 million theft that occurred at -- out of the warehouse in effect. Parts were stolen, the FBI was called, they were tracked down, two different companies were located as having received the parts. We're currently in negotiations with them to get payment for those parts. There's an insurance claim which is pending which will cover at least \$1 million of any loss and possibly as much as 4 million, which is the -- the claimed entire loss.

The inaccurate base certificates that they claim that we submitted, we have no knowledge of what they're talking about. Huron Consulting, which essentially runs the finances of this company, was hired at the behest of the bank, and the company, the defendants, are paying between 40 and \$60,000 a week to the bank's consultant to monitor the cash flows, monitor the finances, and no check can even be cut without Huron Consulting signing off on it. So the bank already has

```
1
     essentially a de facto receiver over the cash.
 2
               THE COURT:
                           They're loaning or they're advancing
     money to your client that they don't necessarily have to, is
 3
     that...
 4
              MR. ESSAD: I -- I've never seen a bank loan document
 5
     that says they have to advance money if they decide they don't
 6
 7
     want to.
              THE COURT:
                          Yeah.
 8
 9
              MR. ESSAD:
                          Okay. We have between 11 and $15 million
     in receivables from GM and Ford. Typically what happens is we
10
11
     get the receivable, that receivable is tendered to the bank,
12
     the bank tenders back to us 85 percent against that receivable.
     That's where we get our cash until the receivable comes in, in
13
     which case the bank gets their 85 percent back plus interest,
14
     we get the balance of the cash for our coffers.
15
                                                       That's been
16
     the continuing system since they got involved with FirstMerit
17
     and subsequently Huntington.
              Yes, GM's involved. GM's gotten involved through a
18
     participation in the Credit Agreement. They did so to make
19
```

Yes, GM's involved. GM's gotten involved through a participation in the Credit Agreement. They did so to make sure that the rough times that the company was going through got patched over. Well, I would submit to the Court that Mr. Manickam who's here will tell the Court that they've gotten past whatever inefficiencies are claimed by the bank. They've gotten past whatever issues they had for operations and shipping.

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
The -- the one incredible statement the bank makes as
an event of default is that we're near the maximum on our line
of credit. I don't know how getting near the maximum amount on
your line of credit is a default under a line of credit.
                                                          That.
just absolutely makes no sense.
         But there's tremendous harm I would submit to the
Court, tremendous harm to the company by appointing a receiver.
AAPICO is owed $14 million by March 31st under this agreement
that we've attached as an exhibit to our answer. If AAPICO
doesn't get that $14 million, we believe they then will seek to
grab control of the company under this so-called memorandum
that's there.
         THE COURT: Okay.
         MR. ESSAD:
                     The company's arranged for the
$14 million to be paid to AAPICO by one of their holding
companies. And I know we submitted to the Court this complex,
convoluted sort of organizational chart, but that's what
exists.
         THE COURT: Okay.
                     The $14 million comes in, AAPICO no
         MR. ESSAD:
longer has an ability to become a majority owner in this
company and the existing founding shareholders would remain in
control.
         THE COURT: All right.
                     And frankly, Judge, the bank is crying
         MR. ESSAD:
```

```
1
     wolf here: there's a default, there's a default, there's a
              The four things that they cite, none of them are
 2
     default.
 3
     default.
              The -- the one last item that they claim is a default
 4
 5
     is this Wayne County lawsuit. They claim that that is a
     default under 5.9 of the Credit Agreement: "Solvency, No
 6
     Litigation, No Violation, ERISA." If the Court were to read
 7
 8
     that, especially paragraph 5.9 sub(b), the Court would see that
 9
     the litigation which is pending in Wayne County must be -- must
     have a material adverse effect on the company. Well, a closer
10
11
     look at the --
              THE COURT: I -- I don't know. I -- I'm not going to
12
     be able to figure that out now, although I understand your
13
14
     position, and I think I have your entire position based on your
15
     filing this morning and the arguments you made. Is there
16
     anything else you'd like to say as to the four factors or the
     remedy of a receiver before we give Mr. Opincar the last shot
17
     at it?
18
              MR. ESSAD: We -- we think the -- the Court obviously
19
     correctly cites that -- that a receivership is an extraordinary
20
21
     remedy, that they're not talking about any irreparable harm to
     anybody here, and -- but the Court early on mentioned a status
22
23
     quo order and we think that might be the right remedy at this
     point in time.
24
25
              THE COURT: All right.
```

```
And if we're going to default, if we're
 1
              MR. ESSAD:
 2
     not going to make payroll, you're going to know that.
              THE COURT:
                           Right.
 3
              MR. ESSAD: And you're going to know that in the next
 4
 5
     week or so.
              THE COURT:
 6
                          Right.
 7
              MR. ESSAD:
                          So that's what I would request.
              THE COURT:
                          That's one of the ideas that I have.
 8
 9
     Okay. All right. Very good.
                           Thank you.
10
              MR. ESSAD:
11
              THE COURT:
                          All right. Thank you, Mr. Essad.
                                                              Ι
12
     appreciate that very much.
              MR. OPINCAR: Your Honor?
13
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
14
              MR. OPINCAR: If I may, my request -- it seems like
15
16
     counsel's speaking for GM. GM's here, is present, in addition
     to AAPICO's here. Would the Court at least give an
17
     opportunity, maybe even just a minute, to hear from GM's
18
     counsel?
19
                          Maybe a minute, maybe a minute. But
20
               THE COURT:
     you -- you finish up and --
21
              MR. OPINCAR: Sure. Well --
22
23
              THE COURT: -- if Mr. Huget wants to -- to put his
     client's position on the record, he --
24
25
              MR. OPINCAR: Yes, Your Honor. There -- there's not
```

```
1
     just four events of default, and you can try to attempt to poke
 2
     holes, but there's annual financial statements that haven't
     been provided. No monthly financial statements under 9.6 of
 3
     the Agreement have been provided since December 31 of '18; no
 4
     operating budget; dysfunction amongst directors that are also
 5
     shareholders that are suing each other; breach of covenants;
 6
     change of control. So there's multiple events of default that
 7
 8
     are current, Your Honor, and currently exist.
 9
               In connection with, again, discretionary advances, I
     believe that the defendants are simply ignoring the fact that
10
11
     on March 14th, in accordance with the terms of the loan
12
     documents that they admit in their answer that they signed,
     that upon the event of default, Huntington can exercise
13
     remedies. One of those remedies is an acceleration of all
14
15
     indebtedness, all indebtedness is immediately due.
16
              THE COURT: Right.
              MR. OPINCAR: We don't have any obligation
17
     whatsoever, Your Honor, to continue to make discretionary
18
     advances. We could stop today.
19
20
              THE COURT: Right.
21
              MR. OPINCAR: Your Honor, we don't want to do that.
     We're trying to work, right, on a process with GM, with others
22
23
     to maximize value of all the collateral, preserve jobs and get
```

to some path. The problem is is that we've been spinning

wheels for four months and we're not getting anywhere.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Your Honor, I would like permission please to ask for
GM to address the interruption and the irreparable harm to
Sakthi to the extent they don't provide the accommodations upon
the appointment of a receiver.
                     Let's hear from Mr. Huget briefly, then
         THE COURT:
I'll say a few things and we'll adjourn for the day.
                                                      Thank you
again, Mr. Opincar.
         MR. OPINCAR: Thank you, Your Honor.
         THE COURT:
                    Good morning again, Mr. Huget.
                     Good morning, Your Honor. Thank you for
         MR. HUGET:
the opportunity to be heard on behalf of General Motors.
                                                          I'11
be very brief.
         THE COURT:
                    Yes.
         MR. HUGET:
                     Your Honor, the Court should be aware
that General Motors has made $15 million in loans and incurred
more than $11 million of expedited freight, freight charges to
keep this plant running. Without this, all the operations
would have shut down and impacted thousands of employees.
         We don't see any reason -- nothing's changed,
nothing's improved. If the Court doesn't take the drastic
action the bank has asked for, we don't see the situation
getting better and we see it getting dramatically worse.
         THE COURT:
                     Okay.
                     So we -- we would concur on the Court --
         MR. HUGET:
on Huntington's request for a receiver.
```

```
THE COURT: All right.
 1
 2
              MR. HUGET:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT:
                           Wait a minute. Thank you, 15 million,
 3
     that's in addition to these continuing --
 4
              MR. HUGET:
 5
                           Correct.
              THE COURT: All right. Okay.
 6
 7
              MR. HUGET: And that those -- those have been going
     on since September. October I think was the first loan.
                                                                We've
 8
 9
     been in discussions with them since September. They've
     repeatedly told us that without the loans and without the
10
11
     accommodations we've made, that they wouldn't be able to
     produce parts. So we don't see -- nothing has changed, and
12
     counsel for Sakthi didn't make any representations that
13
14
     anything has changed in that regard, so our -- our concerns
     about there are the threats of a shutdown are -- are immediate
15
16
     and apparent.
              THE COURT: All right.
17
              MR. HUGET:
                          Thank you.
18
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you again. All right.
19
20
     Unfortunately, this isn't the greatest time for an emergency to
21
     break out because we've had an awful lot of courtroom activity
22
     this week. However, I hope you know I took this seriously and
23
     made time on the -- the calendar.
               I need to frankly review Mr. Essad's opposition a
24
25
     little more in depth. I also need to digest what we've talked
```

```
So I'm going to take the motion of Huntington
 1
     about here.
 2
     National Bank under advisement for the time being, but I
     promise you I will get a quick resolution of it on the docket.
 3
               Should there be a default or a lack of payroll being
 4
     issued this afternoon or tomorrow, I think you should call the
 5
     Court right away and we should do a -- a status call.
 6
 7
               In the meantime, as I undertake to analyze the four
     factors set forth in Meyer Jewelry that the Sixth Circuit
 8
 9
     pronounced many, many years ago, I'm going to set a
     continuation of this hearing and call it a -- I'm going to --
10
     what I'd like to do is ask for more extensive but quick
11
12
     briefing on a motion for a preliminary injunction which would
     naturally -- I think you filed for one of those, didn't you,
13
     Mr. Opincar? I'm not a hundred percent familiar with the
14
15
     dock -- you can stay there at your table and just -- did you
16
     file for a motion for preliminary injunction as well as the --
     I think you lodged one of those with your Complaint or am I
17
     wrong about that?
18
              MR. OPINCAR: We -- we did provide a separate motion
19
     as required by the rule, Your Honor, but it was part of our
20
21
     emergency motion to appoint receiver and for a temporary
22
     restraining order.
23
              THE COURT: Right.
              MR. OPINCAR: Under 65(b), we believe that we've met
24
25
     the qualifications of 65(b), but it isn't an ex-parte motion,
```

```
Your Honor. We did provide notice.
```

THE COURT: Right, right, right. Okay. All right. Good. I'm going to continue the hearing until April 3, 2:00 p.m.; that's next Wednesday afternoon. We'll have a status conference and/or motion on the what I will call for a preliminary injunction.

I will get an order out in the interim on the motion for a temporary restraining order and for appointment of receiver. I hope to get that out within the next 18 to 24 hours. And then -- and then I'll set a briefing schedule for next Wednesday if we don't -- well, I'll just set a briefing schedule for next Wednesday.

So that's the best I can do. I hope you all have a little patience with me 'cuz I'm -- I'm doing my best. This is obviously a voluminous matter that I -- I just learned about, but I don't want to make a mistake. So I have read the Complaint, read the motions, read the motion, read the response of Mr. Essad, conducted a hearing. I will get a order out on the TRO and receiver forthwith, taking that under advisement right now, and setting a hearing April 3, 2:00 p.m., either a status conference or a continuation of this as we decide whether or not to preliminary -- preliminarily enjoin any behavior of the defendants in the case. And that's about the best I can do, all right?

MR. OPINCAR: Your Honor, may I ask one question --

```
THE COURT:
 1
                           Yes.
 2
               MR. OPINCAR: -- just in connection with the April 3rd
     hearing? For purposes of that hearing, and I -- I have no idea
 3
     what your schedule is, but could that potentially be an
 4
     evidentiary hearing, Your Honor, so we should plan on calling
 5
     up these witnesses?
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Potentially, yeah, we -- we can talk
     about that.
 8
 9
               MR. OPINCAR: Thank you.
               THE COURT: I've got basically nothing that -- that's
10
     Wednesday afternoon and that's why I was looking at my computer
11
12
     while you were here 'cuz I was thinking we could clear a couple
     of hours out for you folks at that time, okay?
13
               All right. Thank you all very much for your hard
14
     work.
15
16
               ATTORNEYS (Collectively): Thank you, Your Honor.
               THE CLERK: All rise. The Court is in recess.
17
               (Court in recess at 11:28 a.m.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATION 1 I, Linda M. Cavanagh, Official Court Reporter of the 2 United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, 3 appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States 4 Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages 1 5 through 26 comprise a full, true and correct transcript of the 6 7 proceedings held in the matter of The Huntington National Bank 8 vs. Sakthi Automotive Group, et al, Case No. 19-10890, on 9 Thursday, March 28, 2019. 10 11 s/Linda M. Cavanagh 12 Linda M. Cavanagh, RDR, RMR, CRR, CRC Federal Official Court Reporter 13 United States District Court 14 Eastern District of Michigan 15 16 Date: March 29, 2019 17 Detroit, Michigan 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25